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ABSTRACT
Medium-sized neotropical parrots from Pionus genus are represented by at least eight species. However, their taxonomy should be revised because some external morphological characters together with genetic data recognize 19 taxa. At present, only two mitochondrial markers are available for most of these taxa and obtained phylogenies are not well resolved. Therefore, we sequenced Pionus chalcopterus chalcopterus mitogenome to gain more molecular data required for future studies of the taxonomic status and phylogenetic relationships between Pionus taxa. Performed phylogenetic analyses showed seven monophyletic clades including at least two sequences assigned to one species. However, not all subspecies sequences were monophyletic.

Pionus parrots are diversified into many species and subspecies inhabiting various environments, from mountains to low-land with dry- and wet-forests (Forshaw 2010). Therefore, they offer an interesting possibility to study mechanisms of speciation and emergence of subspecies. Phylogenetic analyses showed independent biogeographic disjunctions of the lineages occupying the same types of habitats. The diversification of these parrots was associated with the Andes uplifted and Pleistocene climatic oscillations (Ribas et al. 2007). The study was based only on two mitochondrial gene sequences. Therefore, to enrich the set of molecular markers, we obtained the sequence of mitochondrial genome from Pionus chalcopterus (accession number MF784450). In comparison to the firstly mitogenome from Pionus menstruus (Urantówka and Mackiewicz 2016), P. chalcopterus mitogenome differs in the length of tRNA-Phe and 16S rRNA genes as well as two control regions. Moreover, the stop codon in nd5 gene lacks the last nucleotide in P. chalcopterus, which may be associated with the loss of the 10-bp intergenic region between nd5 and cytb.

Morphology of the analyzed parrot is typical of chalcopterus species and subspecies. The taxonomic position of this species is undoubtedly proved in the phylogenetic tree of nd2 + cytb alignment including all available Pionus taxa (Figure 1). The specimen groups significantly within three other representatives of its species. Seven clades including at least two sequences assigned to one species can be recognized as monophyletic in the tree. Five of them (menstruus, chalcopterus, seniloides,fuscus, maximiliani) form very significantly supported clades by Bayesian and maximum likelihood (ML) methods. The clade of senilis obtained the highest posterior probability but moderate bootstrap support, whereas sordidus clade is poorly supported by the both methods. All three subspecies of P. menstruus are represented by at least four samples create monophyletic groups. However, two P. chalcopterus chalcopterus sequences are not clustered together and one of them groups significantly with two P. chalcopterus cyanescens sequences. The group of three P. maximiliani lacerus sequences is not fully monophyletic either, because this clade includes also P. maximiliani siy. This may result from low variation of the studied markers, misidentification of subspecies or mitochondrial DNA introgression. A study of more variable control region can solve this controversy.

The deep branches of the tree are generally well supported with the exception of two relationships marked by blue arrows in Figure 1. Two applied methods provided alternative topologies but none of them was significantly favoured. Interestingly, the clustering the clade of P. seniloides + P. tumultuosus with the clade of P. chalcopterus + P. senilis, as proposed by the ML tree, agrees with the maximum parsimony tree when morphological characters were added to the molecular data (Ribas et al. 2007). In fact, all these taxa differ from other Pionus in entirely yellow bill and they also have white throat with the exception to P. tumultuosus. The analyses of complete mitochondrial genomes are necessary to fully resolve the relationships because results for individual markers can be biased and produce inconsistent phylogenies of parrots (Urantówka et al. 2017).
Figure 1. The phylogenetic tree obtained in MrBayes for the concatenated alignment of \( nd2 \) and \( c\text{ytb} \) genes (2181 bp) indicating that the studied individual (bolded) belongs to \textit{Pionus chalcopterus} species. The individual is a bird kept in culture in Poland (Gorzyce town). Its blood sample, from which DNA was isolated, is available in the collection of the laboratory at the Department of Genetics in Wroclaw University of Environmental and Life Sciences under the number ADUA/KPM07. The blue arrows indicate alternative positions of clades in the topology received in IQ-TREE. The length of branches leading to outgroup sequences was shortened five times (the dashed lines). Values at nodes, in the order shown, indicate posterior probabilities found in MrBayes (PP) as well as SH-aLRT (SH) and non-parametric bootstrap (BP) support percentages calculated in IQ-TREE. The posterior probabilities < 0.5 and the percentages < 50% were omitted or indicated by a dash ‘–’. In the MrBayes (Ronquist et al. 2012) analysis, we assumed separate mixed substitution models for three codon positions in these genes of six possible partitions, with information about heterogeneity rate across sites as proposed by PartitionFinder (Lanfear et al. 2012). We applied two independent runs, each using four Markov chains. Trees were sampled every 100 generations for 20,000,000 generations. After obtaining the convergence, trees from the last 9,452,000 generations were collected to compute the posterior consensus. In the case of IQ-TREE (Nguyen et al. 2015), we used separate nucleotide substitutions models for four partitions as suggested by ModelFinder (Chernomor et al. 2016; Kalyaanamoorthy et al. 2017). In SH-aLRT bootstrap analysis, 10,000 replicates were assumed, and in non-parametric bootstrap, 1000 replicates were applied. All sequences passed the composition \( \chi^2 \) test. The analyzed sequences were downloaded from GenBank database (www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov) under the accession numbers: AY669403, AY669447, DQ143290, DQ143304, EF517606-24, EF517628-71, HM755882, HQ270500, JX524615, KM611467, KM611470, KM611474, KT361659, KX925977/78.
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